

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

**Plaintiff**

V.

CRIMINAL 03-0186 (JAG)

CHARLIE MANUEL VÁZQUEZ PENA,

Defendant

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(A) and (B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

## I. Personal Background

On July 8, 2003, Charlie Manuel Vázquez Pena, the defendant herein, was charged in a four count-indictment. The defendant agrees to plea guilty to count one of the indictment.

Count one charges that during August of 1998, to the date of the return of the indictment (July 8, 2003), in the District of Puerto Rico, and elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this court, the defendant and others, did unlawfully conspire to distribute multi-kilogram quantities of controlled substances, including one kilogram or more of heroin, five kilograms or more of cocaine, fifty grams or more of cocaine base, and a detectable amount of marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

Defendant filed a motion for change of plea on April 3, 2007.

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

On April 19, 2007, while assisted by Ramón García García, Esq., the defendant, by consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to count one of the indictment.

1 CRIMINAL 03-0186 (JAG)

2

3       In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing  
 4 being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead  
 5 guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the  
 6 change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given  
 7 notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries  
 8 were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would  
 9 be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could  
 10 lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings  
 11 presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was  
 12 also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two.  
 13 The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

14       III.   Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

15           A.   Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

16       Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the  
 17 acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to  
 18 Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the  
 19 defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary:  
 20 "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty  
 21 does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and  
 22 consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4  
 23 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467  
 24 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1)  
 25 absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge  
 26 of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47  
 27 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1<sup>st</sup>  
 28 Cir. 1991)).

United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1999).

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he  
 understood that the statutory penalty for the offense to which defendant will be  
 pleading guilty, pursuant to count one, that is, conspiracy to possession with intent  
 to distribute one kilogram of heroin and 1/8 kilogram of cocaine, is a maximum term

1 CRIMINAL 03-0186 (JAG)

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

of life imprisonment and the statutory minimum is 10 years, a fine of not more than \$4,000,000, or both, and a term of supervised release of at least five years in addition to any term of incarceration. A mandatory penalty assessment of \$100, per offense, to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a).

Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term and fine were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea if he was unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist the court in reaching a fair sentence.

Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

#### B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his right:

1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by the prosecution in relation to his decision not to testify.

3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

1 CRIMINAL 03-0186 (JAG)

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means of competent evidence.

5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of witnesses.

Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional rights.

#### C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty

Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely on his statement that he is guilty.

Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty he would not be allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might disagree with the sentence imposed, and that when he were under supervised release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that privilege could be revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been abolished.

#### D. Plea Agreement

The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being signed by the government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the record. Defendant was clearly warned and recognized having understood that:

1 CRIMINAL 03-0186 (JAG)

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5

- 1     1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.
- 2     2. The plea agreement is an "agreement" between the defendant, defense
- 3     attorney and the attorney for the government which is presented as a
- 4     recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable sentencing adjustments
- 5     and guidelines, which are advisory.
- 6     3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated
- 7     sentencing guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the
- 8     sentencing court.

- 9     4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation
- 10    contained therein, the sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea
- 11    agreement and impose any sentence up to the possible maximum penalty prescribed
- 12    by statute.

13           Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

14           E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

15           The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant

16           basically concurred.

17           Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to

18           establish all elements of the offense charged.

19           F. Voluntariness

20           The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had

21           been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead

22           guilty. He came to the hearing for the purpose of pleading guilty and listened

23           attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would prove if the case had

24           proceeded to trial.

1 CRIMINAL 03-0186 (JAG)

6

2  
3 IV. Conclusion4  
5 The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11,  
6 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count one  
7 of the indictment.8 After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court,  
9 concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the  
10 preceding sections, I find that the defendant Charlie Manuel Vázquez-Pena is  
11 competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and  
12 the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge  
13 is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the  
14 offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full  
15 knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.16 Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant  
17 and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one of the indictment. Upon  
18 sentencing, the remaining counts will be dismissed.19 This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)  
20 and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be  
21 specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt.  
22 Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific  
23 objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the  
24 district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986).25  
26 At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2007.27  
28 S/ JUSTO ARENAS  
Chief United States Magistrate Judge